

# On the implementation of advanced energetic particle transport models

# Ph. Lauber, V.-A. Popa, T. Hayward-Schneider, M.-V. Falessi

# acknowledgements: ATEP ENR team, F. Zonca, S.D. Pinches, M. Schneider, O. Hoenen

ENR ATEP: https://wiki.euro-fusion.org/wiki/Project\_No10

https://indico.euro-fusion.org/category/309/





# modelling hierarchy for plasmas with significant energetic particle pressure





required model:

non-linear/quasi-linear global kinetic + background transport non-linear/quasi-linear global kinetic + long time scales (source +sink) non-linear global kinetic linear global kinetic











# modelling hierarchy for plasmas with significant energetic particle pressure



MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT



required model:

non-linear/quasi-linear global kinetic + background transport

> non-linear/quasi-linear global kinetic + long time scales (source +sink)

> > non-linear global kinetic

linear global kinetic







MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT



# aim: develop IMAS based tool to calculate electromagnetic, global EP transport and couple either via F<sub>EP</sub> or its moments to transport codes; different models of fidelity/cost

![](_page_3_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

### e.g. ETS:

[D. Coster et al IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON PLASMA] SCIENCE, VOL. 38, 2010]

$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \vec{\Gamma} + S$$

 $\vec{\Gamma} = -D\nabla n + n\vec{v}$ 

#### formulation of transport processes in available transport codes:

$$\sigma_{\parallel} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\rho \dot{B}_0}{2B_0} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) \Psi = \frac{F^2}{\mu_0 B_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[ \frac{V'}{4\pi^2} \left\langle \left| \frac{\nabla \rho}{R} \right|^2 \right\rangle \frac{1}{F} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \rho} \right] - \frac{V'}{2\pi\rho} (j_{\rm ni,exp} + j_{\rm ni,imp} \cdot \Psi) \quad (1)$$

a density equation for each ion species

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\dot{B}_0}{2B_0} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\rho\right) (V'n_i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\Gamma_i = V'(S_{i,\text{exp}} - S_{i,\text{imp}} \cdot n_i)$$
(2)

a temperature equation for each ion species

$$\frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\dot{B}_0}{2B_0} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho \right) \left( n_i T_i V^{\prime \frac{5}{3}} \right) + V^{\prime \frac{2}{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (q_i) + T_i \gamma_i)$$
  
=  $V^{\prime \frac{5}{3}} [Q_{i, \exp} - Q_{i, imp} \cdot T_i + Q_{ei} + Q_{zi} + Q_{\gamma i}]$  (3)

a temperature equation for the electrons

$$\frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\dot{B}_0}{2B_0} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho \right) \left( n_e T_e V^{\prime \frac{5}{3}} \right) + V^{\prime \frac{2}{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (q_e + T_e \gamma_e) = V^{\prime \frac{5}{3}} [Q_{e, \exp} - Q_{e, imp} \cdot T_e + Q_{ie} - Q_{\gamma i}]$$
(4)

![](_page_4_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

- diffusion coefficients for impurity transport by background turbulence, no e.m. EP-driven modes [Angioni, Püschel, etc]
- critical gradient model [R.Waltz, E. Bass]: use local AE stability threshold, add upshift of transport threshold using (ExB)<sub>turb</sub> shearing rate; above threshold set DEP to ad hoc values [e.g. 10m<sup>2</sup>/s] to clamp EP's radial gradient to critical value
- kick model [M. Podesta, 2014-2022]: calculate probability density function of kick in Pz and E for given amplitude
- RBQ model, ID, 2D [N. Gorelenkov 2015-2022]: use resonance broadening QL theory connected to NOVA-K to evolve mode amplitude consistently with evolution of F<sub>EP</sub>
- PSZS model [M-V. Falessi, 2017-2021] consistently embedded in general NL GK theory [see e.g. talk F. Zonca PPPL EP Seminar May 2022] gives clear guidance on validity and limitations of reduced models by monitoring simplification conditions

![](_page_5_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

# kick model/ quasi-linear diffusion model

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_5.jpeg)

start from NL GK equation, and derive evolution equation of toroidally symmetric component due to fluctuations and sources/collisions:

splitting micro and meso/macro scales describes evolution of non-linear equilibrium including long-lived n=0 structures from perturbations

use connection to QL GK equations to reconcile with QL transport theory, e.g. in [L. Chen JGR, 1999]

mapping from Pz,E,µ space to real space:

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{F_{z0}} +$$

phase space zonal structure transport theory

[M.-V. Falessi, 2017-2021] [L. Chen JGR, 1999]

$$\frac{1}{\tau_b} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial P_\phi} \overline{\left( \tau_b \delta \dot{P}_\phi \delta F \right)_z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \overline{\left( \tau_b \delta \dot{\mathcal{E}} \delta F \right)_z} \right]_S = \overline{\left( \sum_b C_b^g \left[ F, F_b \right] + \mathcal{S} \right)_{zS}}$$

$$(A) + \bar{\nabla} \cdot (B^*_{\parallel} \dot{X}_o F_o) + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} (B^*_{\parallel} w_o F_o) + \bar{\nabla} \cdot (B^*_{\parallel} \overline{\delta \dot{X} \delta G_{\rm res}})$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left( B_{\parallel}^* \overline{\delta \dot{w} \delta G_{\rm res}} \right) = 0 \tag{12}$$

$$D_{\psi\psi} = \overline{\delta\dot{\psi}\delta\dot{\psi}}\tau_{ac} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\omega},\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}c^{2}m_{\beta}^{2}|\delta\boldsymbol{\Phi}|^{2}\tau_{ac} \qquad (45)$$

$$D_{\psi\varepsilon} = D_{\varepsilon\psi} = \overline{\delta\psi}\overline{\delta\varepsilon}\tau_{ac} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\omega,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}}cm_{\beta}\frac{\omega e}{m}|\delta\hat{\Phi}|^{2}\tau_{ac} \quad (46)$$
$$D_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} = \overline{\delta\varepsilon}\overline{\delta\varepsilon}\tau_{ac} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\omega,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}}\left(\frac{\omega e}{m}\right)^{2}|\delta\hat{\Phi}|^{2}\tau_{ac} \quad (47)$$

![](_page_7_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

# PSZSs have been extracted from HMGC and HYMAGYC MHD-kinetic hybrid codes [S. Briguglio, G.Vlad et al 2019-2022]

recently also in non-linear GK code ORB5 NLED AUG EPM/TAE [A. Bottino, ATEP seminar, 3/2022]

## implementation of PSZS in NL MHD-hybrid and GK codes

![](_page_8_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

# outline: ATEP framework **EP transport workflow schematics**

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR PLASMAPHYSIK

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **EP transport workflow schematics**

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### FINDER/HAGIS [Ph. Lauber, 2007, 2022]

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **EP transport workflow schematics**

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

0e+00

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR PLASMAPHYSIK

# calculating PSZSs

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

- originally developed to calculate propagator integrals for LIGKA
- now updated and ported to IMAS
- add perturbation, as originally implemented in HAGIS model [S.D. Pinches 1998]

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

- distributions IDS holds all orbit-averaged information about marker space
- fast, repetitive calls of HAGIS library within IMAS are possible mapping between Pz and <radial position>!
- extended IDS structures were needed, MDS+ limitations (2GB) avoided by moving to HDF5 backend

# calculating PSZS using FINDER/HAGIS

• use LIGKA related code **FINDER** to set up marker space, determine trapped-passing boundary, sort, classify, orbit averages for unperturbed equilibria [A. Bierwage, CPC 2022, LIGKA orbit integrals, CPC 2007]

DTT Seminar 20.5.2022

![](_page_13_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

adding LIGKA calculated perturbation: follow set of market for wave-periods, time or number of orbits mid-radius, I MeV, He, co-passing,  $\Lambda=0$ , n=9 TAE with dB/B=10<sup>-3</sup>

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

# calculating PSZS using FINDER/HAGIS

colours: different starting phase, 10 markers with starting tor angle  $[0: 2\pi/n]$ 

important: averaging over phase is crucial to obtain correct fluxes

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

TT Seminar 20.5.2022

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

# calculating PSZS using FINDER/HAGIS

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

number of orbits

averaging over markers with different phase gives effective poloidally and toroidally averaged dPz

140

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITU

# what is dPz, dE, dA for given perturbation after x completed orbits?

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

- arrows: initial (Pz, $\Lambda$ )  $\rightarrow$  (Pz+ $\delta$ Pz, $\Lambda$ + $\delta\Lambda$ )
- color: δPz
- averages over 10 phases, 64 orbits
- 2-5 minutes to calculate
- modular structure of FINDER allows to replace HAGIS with newer/faster code of same functionality

![](_page_16_Figure_8.jpeg)

Lambda

![](_page_16_Picture_11.jpeg)

А

![](_page_16_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

• divide  $\delta Pz$  by orbit transit time and number of orbits (here 32) • the same information is available for  $\Lambda$  and E • transport coefficients  $D_{Pz}=(dPz)^2/dt$  and  $K_{Pz}=(dPz)/dt$  can be evaluated

# calculate fluxes: dPz/dt [(eV/s)/s]

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

depending on what type of problem is to be solved (shortest time scale to be resolved), very few orbit transits (4-8) are sufficient.

physics reason:

- resonance conditions 'selects' particles that suffer transport
- nth-order resonance is covered after n orbits
- 5-10 poloidal orbits typically cover also precessional resonance for many AEs
- also non-resonant transport is sufficiently represented after 10 orbits (note, that we follow markers for fixed number of orbits, not total time!)
- cases with very large amplitude where Pztransport saturation occurs in a few poloidal orbits might need adoption of parameters

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

• dPz/dt ~ quadratic for small amplitudes, linear for larger amplitudes • simple interpolation captures the amplitude scaling

### amplitude dependence: $dB/B = [10^{-4} - 4 \cdot 10^{-3}]$

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

# easy to include more than one perturbation:

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

- calculate <dPz/dt>, <dE/dt> for given fixed mode structures at fixed amplitude with FINDER/HAGIS, write into IDS (dB/B=5\*10-3)
- ATEP code: read FINDER data, use 3D bspline methods to create <dPz/dt> , <dE/dt> on 3D grid as FEP

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

• use 3d scattered-data b-spline algorithm [Scattered Data Interpolation with Multilevel B-Splines, Lee 1997] - post-smoothing may be still implemented

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

- calculate <dPz/dt>, <dE/dt> for given fixed mode structures at fixed amplitude with FINDER/HAGIS, write into IDS
- ATEP code: read FINDER data, use 3D bspline methods to create <dPz/dt> , <dE/dt> on 3D grid as FEP
- use 3d scattered-data b-spline algorithm [Scattered Data Interpolation with Multilevel B-Splines, Lee 1997] post-smoothing may be still implemented

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_9.jpeg)

dPz (Pz,Lambda), E=989000 [eV]

![](_page_22_Figure_11.jpeg)

<dPz/dt>

![](_page_22_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

- calculate <dPz/dt>, <dE/dt> for given fixed mode structures at fixed amplitude with FINDER/HAGIS, write into IDS
- ATEP code: read FINDER data, use 3D bspline methods to create <dPz/dt> , <dE/dt> on 3D grid as FEP
- use 3d scattered-data b-spline algorithm [Scattered Data Interpolation with Multilevel B-Splines, Lee 1997] post-smoothing may be still implemented

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

Pz

![](_page_23_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_14.jpeg)

#### <dPz/dt>

![](_page_23_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

- calculate <dPz/dt>, <dE/dt> for given fixed mode structures at fixed amplitude with FINDER/HAGIS, write into IDS
- ATEP code: read FINDER data, use 3D bspline methods to create <dPz/dt> , <dE/dt> on 3D grid as FEP
- use 3d scattered-data b-spline algorithm [Scattered Data Interpolation with Multilevel B-Splines, Lee 1997] post-smoothing may be still implemented

### all particles:

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

and feed back to transport code

# to be done: transform into D(s,E)=<s>2/<t>

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

# broadened spectrum of modes: n=16-24, all with fixed amplitude (dB/B=5\*10-3)

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR PLASMAPHYSIK

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR PLASMAPHYSIK

# progress on implementation of transport model: **ATEP code**

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **ITER: I00015:** F<sub>EP</sub> is available from H&CD WF [thx. M. Schneider]

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

## bin/smooth/map to same COM grid as PSZS

binning I M markers from H&CD, use 2d bsplines with smoothing in (Pz,E), (Pz,A) and (E,A), construct 3d spline

![](_page_29_Figure_9.jpeg)

Energy [keV]

![](_page_29_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

$$\frac{\partial F_{EP}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial P_z}{\partial t} \frac{\partial F_{EP}}{\partial P_z} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} \frac{\partial F_{EP}}{\partial E} \quad \text{note:} \quad \frac{\partial^2 P_z}{\partial t \partial P_z} F_{EP} \text{ term}$$

#### runtime: several seconds

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

simple finite difference scheme to start with (final scheme to be decided when sources/collisions are implemented):

m excluded so far: dPz/dt assumed constant -> kick model limit

F (Pz,E,t), Time=199 [arb units]

![](_page_30_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR PLASMAPHYSIK

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

F(t) - F(t=0), Time=147 [arb units]

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

### differential dF/dt:

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

### ATEP code: advance transport equation: Id projection

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

using ITER NBI off-off configuration

Pz

DTT Seminar 20.5.2022

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

using ITER NBI on-on configuration

ш

### ATEP code: advance transport equation: Id projection

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

using ITER NBI on-off configuration

![](_page_34_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### • add PSZS diagnostic to post-run HAGIS output and compare **F**<sub>EP</sub>(**ATEP**) and **F**<sub>EP</sub>(**HAGIS**) for:

- smoothing of FEP
- convergence of PSZS (no. orbits, resolution, etc...)
- mode spectrum
- Pz and E transport w/o E//

#### • compare to ORB5, HMGC/HYMAGIC in various limits

# verification plans

![](_page_35_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR PLASMAPHYSIK

# validation plans

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

- analyse L-mode, H-mode and transition phase using
- also systematic uncertainty quantification feasible

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

# TAEs redistribute particles radially: FIDA measurements in comparison to neoclassical TRANSP/NUBEAM calculations - inwards transport due to off-axis peaked FNBI

control case available, where no strong Alfvénic mode activity is observed (#34921)

MET Workshop 4. March 2021

# radial flattening of EP gradient observed inwards transport

![](_page_38_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

assess effect of EP re-distribution on Te profiles - is the transport enough to explain the Te difference?

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

other cases/experiments very welcome!

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

- IMAS-based orbits data-base and QL orbit averaged particle response implemented PSZS structures stored as IDS distribution objects
- general F<sub>EP</sub> generated from marker data
- evolved PSZS transport equation in kick-model limit

next steps:

- fill transport IDS with D(s,E) couple to RABBIT/ETS
- add amplitude dependence of PSZS i.e. d (dP/dt)dPz \* FEP term -> similar to RBQ model
- add various intensity closure models
- add collisions and sources starting with Langevin limit for decorrelation processes, add bounce averaged collision operators - compare with CKA-EUTERPE [Brizard, Slaby/Kleiber, Hoppe,...]
- can be used to check diffusive vs convective model, different mode spectra, overlap criteria
- separate scales according to PSZS theory -> use to evolve to non-linear equilibria
- speed up, hopefully ACH support next year, integrate in WF framework

DTT Seminar 20.5.2022

![](_page_40_Picture_19.jpeg)