



# Nonlinear Reduced Magnetohydrodynamic Simulations of Edge-Localized Modes in Tokamak Plasmas

**Isabel Krebs** 

M. Hoelzl, K. Lackner, S. C. Jardin, S. Günter



### 3 Interpretation





#### 3 Interpretation



### JOREK: reduced MHD

### JOREK: reduced MHD

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} &= \eta \mathbf{j} - R \; [\mathbf{u}, \Psi] - F_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \varphi} \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot (D_\perp \nabla_\perp \; \rho) + S_\rho \\ \frac{\partial (\rho T)}{\partial t} &= -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla (\rho T) - \gamma \rho T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \left( K_\perp \nabla_\perp \; T + K_{||} \nabla_{||} T \right) + S_T \\ \mathbf{e}_\varphi \cdot \nabla \times \left\{ \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} &= -\rho (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} - \nabla p + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} + \mu \Delta \mathbf{v} \right\} \\ \mathbf{B} \cdot \left\{ \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} &= -\rho (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} - \nabla p + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} + \mu \Delta \mathbf{v} \right\} \end{split}$$

### JOREK: reduced MHD

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} &= \eta j - R \; [u,\Psi] - F_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \\ \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \cdot (\rho v) + \nabla \cdot (D_\perp \nabla_\perp \; \rho) + S_\rho \\ \frac{\partial(\rho T)}{\partial t} &= -v \cdot \nabla(\rho T) - \gamma \rho T \nabla \cdot v + \nabla \cdot \left(K_\perp \nabla_\perp \; T + K_{||} \nabla_{||} \; T\right) + S_T \\ e_\varphi \cdot \nabla \times \left\{ \rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= -\rho (v \cdot \nabla) v - \nabla p + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} + \mu \Delta v \right\} \\ \mathbf{B} \cdot \left\{ \rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= -\rho (v \cdot \nabla) v - \nabla p + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} + \mu \Delta v \right\} \\ \mathbf{j} &\equiv -\mathbf{j}_\varphi = \Delta^* \Psi \\ \omega &\equiv -\omega_\varphi = \nabla_{\text{pol}}^2 \; u \end{split}$$

### JOREK: reduced MHD

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} &= \eta j - R \; [u,\Psi] - F_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \\ &\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho v) + \nabla \cdot (D_\perp \nabla_\perp \; \rho) + S_\rho \\ &\frac{\partial(\rho T)}{\partial t} = -v \cdot \nabla(\rho T) - \gamma \rho T \nabla \cdot v + \nabla \cdot \left(K_\perp \nabla_\perp \; T + K_{||} \nabla_{||} T\right) + S_T \\ e_{\varphi} \cdot \nabla \times \left\{ \rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\rho (v \cdot \nabla) v - \nabla p + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} + \mu \Delta v \right\} \\ &\mathbf{B} \cdot \left\{ \rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\rho (v \cdot \nabla) v - \nabla p + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} + \mu \Delta v \right\} \\ &j \equiv -j_{\varphi} = \Delta^* \Psi \\ &\omega \equiv -\omega_{\varphi} = \nabla_{\text{pol}}^2 \; u \\ \text{Definitions: } \mathbf{B} \equiv \frac{F_0}{R} e_{\varphi} + \frac{1}{R} \nabla \Psi \times e_{\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad v \equiv -R \nabla u \times e_{\varphi} + v_{||} \mathbf{B} \end{split}$$

### JOREK: reduced MHD

JOREK solves nonlinear reduced MHD equations in toroidal geometry

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}=\eta j-R\left[u,\Psi\right]-F_0\frac{\partial u}{\partial\varphi}\\ &\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}=-\nabla\cdot\left(\rho v\right)+\nabla\cdot\left(D_{\perp}\nabla_{\perp}\right.\rho\right)+S_\rho\\ &\frac{\partial(\rho T)}{\partial t}=-v\cdot\nabla(\rho T)-\gamma\rho T\nabla\cdot v+\nabla\cdot\left(K_{\perp}\nabla_{\perp}\right.T+K_{||}\nabla_{||}\right.T\right)+S_T\\ &e_{\varphi}\cdot\nabla\times\left\{\rho\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=-\rho(v\cdot\nabla)v-\nabla p+j\times B+\mu\Delta v\right\}\\ &B\cdot\left\{\rho\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=-\rho(v\cdot\nabla)v-\nabla p+j\times B+\mu\Delta v\right\}\\ &j\equiv-j_{\varphi}=\Delta^*\Psi\\ &\omega\equiv-\omega_{\varphi}=\nabla_{\text{pol}}^2u\\ &\text{Definitions: }B\equiv\frac{F_0}{R}e_{\varphi}+\frac{1}{R}\nabla\Psi\times e_{\varphi}\quad\text{and}\quad v\equiv-R\nabla u\times e_{\varphi}+v_{||}B\\ &\text{Variables: }\Psi,\,u,\,v_{||},\,\rho,T,\,j,\,\omega \end{split}$$

Isabel Krebs

### **JOREK:** numerics

### Discretization

poloidal plane: 2D Bézier finite elements

$$\mathbf{P}(s,t) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \sum_{j=0}^3 \mathbf{P}_{ij} B_i(s) B_j(t)$$

- toroidal direction: Fourier decomposition
- fully implicit time stepping



### **JOREK:** numerics

Grid generation

- equilibrium is computed on initial polar grid
- flux surface aligned X-point grid is generated
- grid can be refined in the regions of interest



### **JOREK:** numerics

Grid generation

- equilibrium is computed on initial polar grid
- flux surface aligned X-point grid is generated
- grid can be refined in the regions of interest

### Boundary conditions

ideally conducting wall and modified Bohm



## Edge-localized modes

- relaxation-oscillation instability at edge of H-mode plasmas
- driven by large edge pressure gradient & edge current density
- eject energy & particles from plasma
- relevant for future fusion devices
  - + help to control particle & impurity content
  - high heat fluxes can damage plasma facing components



 $\rightarrow\,$  theoretical comprehension of ELMs is crucial to predict and control ELM properties

Isabel Krebs

### Experimental observations

linear theory: intermediate toroidal mode numbers are most unstable

- linear theory: intermediate toroidal mode numbers are most unstable
- recent experimental observations (TCV): toroidal mode structure often dominated by low-n components



#### [R.P. Wenninger, H. Zohm et al, Nucl. Fusion 2013]



3 Interpretation



Isabel Krebs

### Parameters & geometry

- simulations are based on typical type-I ELMy ASDEX Upgrade discharge
  - plasma parameters based on ASDEX Upgrade, but larger resistivity (S  $\approx 10^5$ )
  - ASDEX Upgrade geometry including separatrix, X-point and open field lines



### Parameters & geometry

- simulations are based on typical type-I ELMy ASDEX Upgrade discharge
  - plasma parameters based on ASDEX Upgrade, but larger resistivity (S  $\approx 10^5)$
  - ASDEX Upgrade geometry including separatrix, X-point and open field lines
- ▷ large set of included toroidal Fourier harmonics (n = 1, 2, ..., 16)







#### linear phase



linear phase  $\longrightarrow$  early nonlinear phase





#### 3 Interpretation



Idea: "sum & difference mode number generation"

Idea: "sum & difference mode number generation"

 $\triangleright\,$  superposition of harmonics j & k  $\xrightarrow{\text{quadratic terms}}$  generation of  $i=|j\pm k|$ 

Idea: "sum & difference mode number generation"

- ▷ superposition of harmonics j & k  $\xrightarrow{\text{quadratic terms}}$  generation of  $i = |j \pm k|$ 
  - $\implies$  time evolution of amplitude  $A_i$

 $\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} = \underbrace{\gamma_i A_i}_{} + \underbrace{\gamma_{jk}^i A_j A_k}_{}$ linear growth

coupling

Idea: "sum & difference mode number generation"

- $\triangleright~$  superposition of harmonics j & k  $\xrightarrow{\text{quadratic terms}}$  generation of  $i=|j\pm k|$ 
  - $\implies \text{time evolution of amplitude } A_i$  $\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} = \underbrace{\gamma_i A_i}_{jk} + \underbrace{\gamma_{jk}^i A_j A_k}_{jk}$

 $\partial t = \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_1}{\text{linear growth}} + \frac{\gamma_1 \kappa_1 \gamma_1}{\text{coupling}}$ 

- $\gamma_i$ : linear growth rate
  - $\hookrightarrow$  constant  $\Rightarrow$  no saturation effects included

Idea: "sum & difference mode number generation"

- $\triangleright~$  superposition of harmonics j & k  $\xrightarrow{\text{quadratic terms}}$  generation of  $i=|j\pm k|$ 
  - $\Longrightarrow$  time evolution of amplitude  $A_{\mathfrak{i}}$



 $\gamma_i$ : linear growth rate

 $\hookrightarrow$  constant  $\Rightarrow$  no saturation effects included

 $\gamma_{jk}^{i}$ : coupling constant

 $\hookrightarrow \text{constant} \Rightarrow \text{mode rigidity assumed}$ 

Isabel Krebs

#### Interpretation

### Simple quadratic coupling model

 $\Downarrow$  for a set of harmonics i= 1, 2, ..., 16

$$\frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial t} = \gamma_{i}A_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{16}\sum_{k=1}^{16}\gamma_{jk}^{i}A_{j}A_{k}\delta(i\pm j\pm k)$$

set of coupled nonlinear differential equations reproduces evolution of toroidal Fourier spectrum in JOREK simulations

### Interpretation

### Simple quadratic coupling model

 $\Downarrow$  for a set of harmonics i= 1, 2, ..., 16

$$\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} = \gamma_i A_i + \sum_{j=1}^{16} \sum_{k=1}^{16} \gamma_{jk}^i A_j A_k \delta(i\pm j\pm k)$$

- set of coupled nonlinear differential equations reproduces evolution of toroidal Fourier spectrum in JOREK simulations
- ▷ relevant coupling constants:  $\gamma_{9,10}^1, \gamma_{8,10}^2, \gamma_{7,10}^3, \gamma_{6,10}^4, \gamma_{7,8}^{15}, \gamma_{7,9}^{16}$

### Results of simple model



### Results of simple model



- simple quadratic coupling model reproduces JOREK results in early nonlinear phase
- model gives explanation for strong low-n components in experiments

Isabel Krebs

### Results of simple model



### Interpretation

### Localization of driven harmonics



▷ linearly unstable n = 1 extends over a large part of the plasma core

Isabel Krebs

### Interpretation

### Localization of driven harmonics



▷ linearly unstable n = 1 extends over a large part of the plasma core

 nonlinearly driven n = 1 is localized at plasma edge (where driving harmonics are maximal and in phase)

Isabel Krebs



#### 3 Interpretation



### Summary...

- nonlinear reduced MHD ELM simulations based on ASDEX Upgrade
- large set of included toroidal harmonics
- subdominant low-n harmonics become important due to nonlinear drive
- $\triangleright$  n = 1 reaches energies comparable to linearly dominant harmonics
- correspondence to experimental observations of dominant low-n components
- simple quadratic interaction model reproduces and explains early nonlinear evolution of toroidal harmonics in JOREK simulations
- $\,\triangleright\,$  spatial structure of n=1 becomes localized at edge when nonlinearly driven

... and Outlook

- enable more realistic resistivity
- analyze how nonlinear interaction of toroidal harmonics is influenced by
  - diamagnetic drift effects
  - sheared toroidal plasma rotation

### Thank you for your attention!

#### References

#### Simulations

I. Krebs, M. Hoelzl et al, Phys. Plasmas 20, 082506 (2013) M. Hoelzl, S. Günter et al, Phys. Plasmas 19, 082505 (2012) Experiment R.P. Wenninger, H. Zohm et al, Nucl. Fusion 53, 113004 (2013) JOREK G.T.A. Huysmans and O. Czarny, Nucl. Fusion 47, 659 (2007)

O. Czarny and G.T.A. Huysmans, J. of Comput. Phys. 227, 7423 (2008)

### Acknowledgements

R. P. Wenninger ASDEX Upgrade Team Max-Planck/Princeton Center for Plasma Physics HELIOS at IFERC-CSC

Energy conservation

$$\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} = \gamma_i A_i + \sum_{j=1}^{16} \sum_{k=1}^{16} \gamma_{jk}^i A_j A_k \delta(i \pm j \pm k) \qquad \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., 16$$

- $\triangleright$  linear terms  $\rightarrow$  influx of energy
- ▷ nonlinear terms → exchange of energy between different harmonics (total energy should be conserved)

$$\Rightarrow 0 \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\partial E_{\rm tot}}{\partial t} \propto \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{i} A_{i}^{2} \quad \text{ (only nonlinear terms)}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  additional constraints for the coupling constants (12 free parameters remain)

### Energy conservation

